

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 1st Quarter '98 versus 1st Quarter '99

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 63 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column B);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column A);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column C);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column D);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column G); and
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 699) and "total decrease" in ADP (-3,753). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 699 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of -3,753 ADP. Subtracting 699 from -3,753 produces the overall decrease of -3,054 between the first quarter of 1998 and the first quarter of 1999.
2. The San Francisco ADP increase of 196 is 10.5% of the total increase of 699. Eighteen jurisdictions had increases (down to Siskiyou Sheriff's Department). When you get to Siskiyou Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 699).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Tuolumne Sheriff's Department to Los Angeles Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of -3,753 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top five jurisdictions (San Francisco Sheriff's Department to San Joaquin Sheriff's Department) is 74.25%. In other words, five jurisdictions accounted for about 74% of the ADP increase.